MINUTES
Thursday, June 7, 2018
at 3:30 p.m.

Board of Ethics Meeting
Commission Room
1st Floor ~ City Hall
224 West Ninth Street
Sioux Falls, South Dakota

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Jeff Gednalske, Wanda Harris, Greg LaFollette, Jack Marsh (by phone) and Sue Roust

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: Karen Leonard, Acting City Attorney, and Cari Hanzel, Recording Clerk

CALL TO ORDER
A quorum being present, the meeting was called to order by Board Vice Chair Jeff Gednalske at 3:30 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
A motion was made by Sue Roust and seconded by Wanda Harris to approve the minutes from the meeting on March 29, 2018. Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. Motion passed.

APPROVAL OF WRITTEN ADVISORY OPINION 18-1
A motion was made by Wanda Harris and seconded by Sue Roust to approve the written Advisory Opinion 18-1.

Jeff Gednalske suggested a revision to the last sentence of the second to last paragraph to read, “The Board finds that Council Member Neitzert has not violated the provisions of this section; rather, he was researching constituent questions or concerns regarding this type of TNC as compared to other TNCs.”

A motion was made by Jack Marsh and seconded by Wanda Harris to adopt the amendment to the written Advisory Opinion 18-1 as suggested. Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. Motion passed.

A motion was made by Wanda Harris and seconded by Jack Marsh to approve the written Advisory Opinion 18-1 as amended. Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. Motion passed.

Karen Leonard will amend the language of the written Advisory Opinion 18-1 as approved and Jack Marsh will sign off on it.
BUSINESS OR PENDING ISSUES BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD


Gednalske introduced the pending Request for Advisory Opinion submitted by Council Member Theresa Stehly and advised that she waived confidentiality.

Board Clerk Cari Hanzel read Councilor Stehly’s Request for Advisory Opinion.

Karen Leonard provided the Board with the following documents to aid in their discussions: 1) Rules of Procedure for the Board of Ethics; 2) Advisory Opinion 13-2; 3) Ethics Canons 3, 6 and 7 as found in Sections 34.005, 34.008 and 34.009 of the Ordinances of Sioux Falls, SD; 4) Advisory Opinion 07-1; 5) Advisory Opinion 06-1; 6) Section 35.054 of the Ordinances of Sioux Falls, SD; 7) Code of Conduct SD State Legislature; 8) Advisory Opinion 14-2; 9) Charter Sections 7.01 and 7.02; and 10) SDCL 9-20-1 and 9-20-8. Copies of the same were also made available to the public at the back of the room.

The Board discussed how to structure their review of the six questions raised and further discussed whether the questions were hypothetical or specific enough to address.

Greg LaFollette moved to bifurcate the issue into two sections, the first dealing with questions 1, 5 and 6 of the Request and the second dealing with questions 2, 3 and 4 and to have the discussions separate from one another. Sue Roust seconded the motion. Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. Motion passed.

The Board invited Council Member Stehly to speak to her request. She was sworn under oath.

The Board asked Councilor Stehly to first address questions 1, 5 and 6.

Councilor Stehly informed the Board that she meant to use the term circulate and not participate in each of her questions.

Councilor Stehly provided the Board with examples of other elected officials who have done petition drives and expressed her interest in leading petition drives at the municipal, county, state and school board levels.

The Board asked questions of Councilor Stehly.

Councilor Stehly clarified that she was not questioning whether she could be an advocate, just interested in circulating petitions for signature.

The Board discussed the guidance already found in the Canons and previous advisory opinions and well as the Board’s Rules of Procedure prohibiting opinions on hypothetical questions.

The Board specifically reviewed previous Advisory Opinion 07-1 and the ordinances and canons tied to that.
The Board encouraged Councilor Stehly to come back with specific facts that the Board could address which weren’t hypothetical in nature.

Greg LaFollette moved to decline to provide an opinion based on the fact that the Board finds the questions to be hypothetical and the Board refers the requestor to previous Advisory Opinion 07-1 for guidance. Jack Marsh seconded. Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. 0 Noes. Motion passed.

A motion was made by Greg LaFollette and seconded by Sue Roust to authorize the Board’s legal advisor, Karen Leonard, to draft an Advisory Opinion consistent with the discussions and motion of the Board. Sue Roust moved to add the following to the end of the motion: “and to authorize the Board Chair to sign the opinion as drafted on behalf of the Board.” Vote to approve: 4 Yeses. Motion passed.

NEXT MEETING

No specific date for the next meeting was scheduled.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Greg LaFollette and seconded by Sue Roust to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 4:39 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cari Hanzel
Recording Clerk